



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/717,064 11/19/2003		Tatsuki Matsumoto	NEKO 20.738	7229		
26304	7590	12/08/2006	12/08/2006			
		ROSENMAN LL	AFSHAR, KAMRAN			
575 MADIS NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-, - :			2617		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Comments	10/717,064		MATSUMOTO, TATSUKI			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner Kamran Af	K P har, 571-272-7796	Art Unit 2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply		·		idress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the reply appears the provided by the Office later than three months after the part of the	G DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alle closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is no owance except for	n-final. or formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,5,8,9 and 11-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2-4, 6-7, 10 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a sign Papers The specification is objected to by the Example drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oa	ndrawn from consideration red miner. accepted or b) the drawing(s) be prrection is require	quirement. objected to by the leading abeyance. See the din abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 09/28/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant has argued that Heinonen does not disclose or suggest any feature of the masterslave relationship. Examiner very kindly directs the Applicant that Heinonen does (either inherently and / or obviously) discloses the master-slave relationship internal to the mobile device that is exchange of the data and of information (See e.g., Master control state (MCU), slave state, Co. 4, Lines 23-29, Co. 13, Lines 13-22, Co. 14, Lines 30-42). Lahteenmaki specifically establishes the inherent and / or obviousness by explicitly disclosing the communications (that is exchange of information) between the wireless communications terminal, the smart card reader and the smart card inserted to the latter is carried out using a vigorously well known master-slave principle (or relation or protocol) in the art (See Lahteenmaki e.g. Lines 1-4 of ¶ [0068]). Also, Applicant has argued that the Lahteenmaki does not discloses means for restricting execution of a service that incurs payment except for a telephone call services based on information. Applicant is kindly directed to Heinonen where explicitly discloses this which states that the information about call restrictions is saved on an add-in card (such as SIM card, Smart card, etc.) which the user has to install in the mobile phone when it is being used. Thus the main use of this method is to prevent the use of some properties of the mobile phone and / or possibly to restrict the use of the phone by preventing from services that may special service fee or payment may occur. In this instant: foreign calls, long-distance calls or calls to service numbers (See Heinonen e.g. Co. 2, Lines 7-17). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the Heinonen and Lahteenmaki references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In

Art Unit: 2617

re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). Therefore, the previous rejection is maintained.

1Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heinonen (U.S. Patent 6,078,806) in view of Lahteenmaki (U.S. Pub. No.: 2003/0183691 A1).

With respect to claims 1, 5, 9, Heinonen discloses the method and / or a portable telephone terminal device (See Heinonen e.g. Co. 2, Line 8, mobile phone) comprising: a recording medium adapted to record information of a subscriber and / or arrangement for receiving request for a special fee (See Heinonen e.g. ad-in card, Smart card, SIM card, User Identity Module UIM card, Subscriber Identity Module card SIM, or Universal Subscriber Identity Module USIM card, etc. Co. 2, Line 9), and means for restricting execution of a service that incurs payment except for a telephone call services based on information (See Heinonen e.g. long distance call restriction information, foreign calls, service calls, etc. Co. 2, Lines 7-16). In the same field of endeavor, Lahteenmaki also discloses the method and / or a portable telephone terminal device comprising a comprising; a recording medium adapted to record information of a subscriber (See Lahteenmaki e.g. ad-in card, Smart card, SIM card, User Identity Module UIM card, Subscriber Identity Module card SIM, or Universal Subscriber Identity Module USIM card, etc. Page 4, Line 4-10 of ¶ [0065]). Further Lahteenmaki discloses the well know arrangement for receiving and / or master-salve relation the recoding medium (See Lahteenmaki e.g. Page 5, ¶ [0068]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide above teaching of Lahteenmaki to Heinonen to implement use of well know master/slave communication or relation or protocol so that an arrangement is designed to enable electronic payment of purchases made

Application/Control Number: 10/717,064

Art Unit: 2617

with a mobile terminal or mobile phone (See Lahteenmaki e.g. Page 1, Lines 3-6 of ¶ [0004]) and / or to implement a new mobile station and a new system for effecting payments which is preferably arranged for a <u>certain use</u>, such as a credit card, a cash card or a payment card; and also <u>offer special services</u>, like time tables for public transportation or exchange rates (See Heinonen, Co. 2, Lines 24-31).

Regarding claim 8, Heinonen discloses recording medium is one of a User Identity Module (UIM) card, a Subscriber Identity Module (SIM) card, and a Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) (See e.g. Co. 1, Lines 13-20).

Regarding claim 11, 13, 15, it is obvious that the master-slave relation is between the portable telephone terminal device and a second terminal device (See Heinonen e.g. See e.g. Fig. 7, Co. 12, Lines 8-20).

Regarding claims 12, 14, 16, it is obvious that the portable telephone terminal device and the second terminal device do not communicate directly (See Heinonen e.g. Figs. 5-6).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-4, 6-7 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/717,064

Art Unit: 2617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be

directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on

Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eng, George can be reached @ (571) 272-3984. The fax number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for all communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kamran Afshar

12/4/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5